# Studies in World Religions

# An Introduction to Monotheistic Traditions

Second Edition

Ahmad F. Yousif

UNISSA Press Sultan Sharif Ali Islamic University Negara Brunei Darussalam 1438H / 2017M Published by: UNISSA Press Centre of Research and Publication Sultan Sharif Ali Islamic University Simpang 347, Jalan Pasar Baharu BE 1310, Gadong Brunei Darussalam

© Ahmad F. Yousif 2017

First Published 2013 Second Edition 2017

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilized in any form or by any electronic, mechanical or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the copyright owner.

ISBN 978-99917-65-45-7 (Hardcover) ISBN 978-99917-65-46-4 (Paperback)

#### Perpustakaan Dewan Bahasa dan Pustaka Brunei Pengkatalogan Data-dalam-Penerbitan

YOUSIF, Ahmad F.

Studies in World Religions: An Introduction to Monotheistic Traditions
[Second Edition] / Ahmad F. Yourif Pander Soft Receives - LANCOA

[Second Edition] / Ahmad F. Yousif. -- Bandar Seri Begawan : UNISSA Press, Universiti Islam Sultan Sharif Ali, 2017.

276 p. 17.78cm x 25.5cm. ISBN 978-99917-65-45-7 (Hardcover)

ISBN 978-99917-65-45-7 (Hardcover)

1. Religions--Study and teaching 2. Religions--Culture and ethics

3. Confucianism 4. Confucianisme 5.Religions--Relations

6. Monotheism I. Title

201.5 YOU (DDC 23)

#### Cover Design:

EZY Printing Services and Trading Company Sdn Bhd Brunei Darussalam

#### Printed by:

EZY Printing Services and Trading Company Sdn Bhd Brunei Darussalam

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements ix Introduction xi  CHAPTER ONE: Analytical Inquiry into the Discipline of Comparative Religion			
		Introduction	1
		Muslim Study of World Religions: Motivating Factors	
Defining and Delimiting the Field			
Western Scholarship on Comparative Religion			
Challenges of Methodology			
Conclusion			
Bibliography	22		
A) Non-Muslim References	22		
B) Muslim References	24		
CHAPTER TWO: Judaism	Resilver Called State Control of the		
Introduction	27		
Socio-Historical Development			
Doctrine and Philosophy			
Worship and Rituals			
Institutions			
Jewish Thinkers: Pre-Modern Philosophy	84		
Beginning of Modern Jewish Philosophy			
Status of Women			
Contemporary Era	96		
Qura'nic Perspective	101		
Glossary of Terms	104		
Bibliography			
A) Non-Muslim References			
B) Muslim References	116		

CHAPTER THREE: Christianity	
Introduction	
Socio-Historical Development	
Doctrine and Philosophy	
Worship and Rituals	151
Institutions	
Status of Women	
Contemporary Era	176
Qur'ānic Perspective	183
Glossary of Terms	
Bibliography	196
A) Non-Muslim References	196
B) Muslim References	203
,	
CHAPTER FOUR: Fundamentalism and Fanaticism: A	Comparative
Analysis	-
Introduction	215
The Concepts of Fundamentalism and Fanaticism	218
Causes of Fanaticism	
Islamic Perspective on Fanaticism	
Fanaticism and Contemporary Islamic Revivalism	
Cure of Fanaticism	239
Conclusion	
Bibliography	243
A) Non-Muslim References	245
A) Non-Muslim References	245 245
A) Non-Muslim References  B) Muslim References	245 245
A) Non-Muslim References	245 245



I would like to acknowledge the contributions of the following institutions and individuals to the developments of this book and its initial research project:

- Research and Management Centre, International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM), for full-funding the research project.
- St. Michael's College, University of Toronto, Canada, for providing a Visiting Fellowship, from June 1, 2011 to September 1, 2011, to conduct part of the research on their campus.
- The Ridd Institute for Religion and Global Policy, University of Winnipeg, for offering an Affiliated Scholar position, from June 1, 2011 to August 31, 2011, to discuss contents and results of the book.
- Emeritus Prof. Earle Waugh, Department of Religious Studies, University of Alberta, Canada; Prof. Mark McGowan, Professor of History and former Vice-President Academic, St. Michael's College, University of Toronto, Canada; The Rev. Dr. Prof. James Christie, former Dean, Faculty of Theology, University of Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada, for their professional critiques and feedback on the manuscript.
- IIUM Press, International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM), for publishing the first edition of this book in 2013.

## Introduction

This manuscript is the second edition of a reference book on world religions, entitled *Studies in World Religions: An Introduction to Monotheistic Traditions*, published by IIUM Press, in 2013. It will provide an overview of major world religions and is primarily targeted to both Muslim students in Islamic studies and the general public. This volume deals specifically with the Abrahamic religions, namely Judaism, Christianity and Islam.

The objectives of this book are a) to undertake a critical examination of the Comparative Religion discipline including its importance, rational, historical development, and methodology; b) to learn about Islamic perspective towards other religions; c) to discuss the importance of studying and understanding the world's major religions in contemporary societies; d) to explore Judaism, Christianity and Islam from religious, historical, social and philosophical perspectives; and, d) to survey classical and modern Islamic and non-Islamic literature on Judaism, Christianity and Islam.

Presently, most of research and work conducted in the field of Comparative Religion and appearing in western languages, takes either a secular and/or Judeo-Christian approach. It completely neglects the Islamic point of view on "other" religions. On the other hand, traditional Muslim intellectuals who devoted their writings to this area, tended to examine other religions from a subjective viewpoint, rather than using "objective" scientific techniques and methodologies. Many Muslim scholars often compare Islam (positively) with other religions (negatively), basing their arguments strictly on Islamic sources and personal religious commitment. Consequently, the majority of their writings have left a largely negative perception of other religions, without providing the reader with material to judge for him or herself. It is hoped that this introductory research book will be able to combine

the best aspects of these two methodologies and produce an accurately "objective" perspective on world religions.

Contemporary Muslim scholars, students and researchers have become increasingly discouraged at the lack of appropriate material on Comparative Religion, which adopts a more holistic approach, utilizing both Islamic epistemological and methodological frameworks. This book attempts to overcome the shortcomings of these two approaches. Upon clarifying some of the fundamental presuppositions and assumptions of the discipline, the next task is to commence with the investigation of world's major religions. References, in both English and Arabic, will be made to primary and secondary material written by both Muslims and non-Muslim scholars.

Studies in World Religions: An Introduction to Monotheistic Traditions will start with a general introduction followed by an analytical probe into the meaning, significance, historical development and methodology of the discipline of Comparative Religion. How does the contemporary Western understanding and methodological approach to this discipline compare with the classical and modern Islamic approaches to the study of other religions? What is the importance and significance of the study of this field for the public in general and Muslim students, concentrating in humanities and social sciences, in particular? What are some of the major conceptual and methodological challenges that students, especially those in the field of Islamic studies, encounter when studying other religions, and how can these difficulties be overcome?

This volume is designed to introduce Abrahamic religions from a multi-disciplinary perspective to Muslim readers. It comprises of four main chapters with a comprehensive bibliography, in addition to an introduction and index. The first chapter analyses the discipline of Comparative Religion itself. What is meant by comparative religion? What are the differences, if any, in the contemporary Western and the Islamic understanding of the term? What have been some of the traditional motivations behind Western attempts to understand other religions? Similarly what have been the motivations of the classical Islamic scholars in undertaking investigations in the same area? What is

the significance and importance of studying this discipline today, particularly for Muslim students and intellectuals in Islamic study? The chapter also investigates the so-called "objectivity" of the Western methodological approach towards the study of other religions. What is meant by "objectivity" and to what extent is it possible to be "objective" when studying another religion or even ones' own. What are the classical and modern Islamic approaches to studying other religions? The second chapter will take a probing look at Judaism from religious, historical, social and philosophical perspectives. References will be made to both primary and secondary Judaic sources as well as Islamic (primary, classical and modern) sources. What are some of the points of agreement between the two views and what are some of the areas of contention? A Qur'anic perspective on Judaism will be offered in brief. The third chapter of this volume which will undertake a similar comparative approach as the second chapter, will be focused on Christianity. As in the previous chapter on Judaism, a brief Qur'anic viewpoint of Christianity will be introduced. The final chapter of this book will take an analytical approach to Islam. However, since the target readers are going to be Muslim students and the public at large, this chapter presents a comparative analysis of fundamentalism and In what ways does the religion of Islam deal with fanaticism. fundamentalist and fanatical elements within its community? In which areas do Muslim and Western approaches differ when dealing with these movements? The chapter provides a response to these questions, in addition to defining the terms fundamentalism and fanaticism, their causes, Islamic view on fanatical groups, relationship between fanaticism and contemporary Islamic revivalism, and how to cure fanaticism.

A multi-disciplinary approach will be employed throughout the book to examine the religion in question, from religious, historical, social and philosophical perspectives. As such, special emphasis will be given to the socio-historical settings of the religion, the role of the "founder" in establishing the religion, doctrines, methods of worship and rituals, institutions, major sects, status of woman and contemporary developments.

It is expected that this reference book will be a valuable introductory work on world religions, particularly of Abrahamic traditions, for Muslim students and public readers. It is hoped that this edition will fill the existing deficiency in the literature in this field, by adopting a more scientific methodology to the discipline of Comparative Religion.

Chapter One

### ANALYTICAL INQUIRY INTO THE DISCIPLINE OF COMPARATIVE RELIGION<sup>1</sup>

#### Introduction

In institutions of higher learning in the Muslim world, in contrast to similar institutions in Western countries, scant attention is paid to the field of comparative religion. This, however, was not always the case. Between the third/ninth and sixth/twelfth centuries, Islamic civilization witnessed the rise—and also eclipse—of the discipline of 'Ilm a-Milal wal n-Nihal (literally, "knowledge of religious groups and sects"). According to Ismail Raji al-Faruqi, interest in learning about other faiths and in interreligious debate and discussion during this period was so high that these areas became subjects of "salon conversation" and a "public past-time."<sup>2</sup>

Ismail Raji al-Faruqi, (ed.). *Trialogue of the Abrahamic Faiths*. (Herndon: International Institute of Islamic Thought, 1991), p. ix.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This chapter was originally based on an article published as "Studying the "Others": Challenges and Prospects of Muslim Scholarship on World Religions," *Studies in Contemporary Islam.* 2 (2000), 1:1–27. A revised version was later published as "An Analytical Inquiry into Islamic and Western Methodologies of Studying World Religions," in *World's Religions after September 11*. Arvind Sharma, (ed.). (Westport, CT: Greenwood Publishing Group, 2008), vol. 3, pp. 153-165.

Among the works written during the heyday of comparative religious studies in Islamic history are: Ar-Radd 'ala n-Nasara ("Refutation of the Christians") by 'Umar b. Bahr al-Jahiz (d. 255/869), Al-Farq bayna l-Firaq ("Differences among Muslim Groups") by 'Abd al-Oahir b. Tahir al-Baghdadi (d. 429/1038), Al-Fasl fi al-Milal wa al-Nihal ("Decisive Treatise on Religious Sects and Divisions") by 'Ali b. Ahmad b. Hazm(d. 456/1064), Al-Radd al-Jamil li Uluhiyyat Isa bi-Sarih al-Injil) ("Proper Refutation of the Divinity of Jesus with Clear Evidence from the Bible") by Abu Hamid al-Ghazali (d. 505/1112), and al-Milal wa al-Nihal ("Religious Sects and Divisions") by Abu l-Fath ash-Shahrastani (d. 548/1154). Mention may also be made of such writers as Muhammad b. Jarir at-Tabari (d. 313/926), who wrote about the religion of the Persians; Abu 1-Hasan al-Mas'udi (d. 346/958), who wrote two books on Judaism, Christianity, and the religions of India; al-Qadi 'Abd al-Jabbar (d. 415/1025), who devoted part of Al-Mughni to Muslim sects and to religions other than Islam; and Abu Rayhan al-Biruni (d. 440/1053), who wrote about religion in India and Persia.

After a lapse of about six to seven centuries, there is, today, renewed interest among Muslims in studying other religions and faiths. Notable works in this connection are: Faruqi's Christian Ethics, Trialogue of the Abrahamic Faiths, and Islam and Other Faiths; Ahmad Shalabi's four-volume Muqaranat al-Adyan ("Comparative Study of Religions"); Taha al-Hashimi's Tara'ik al-Adyan wa Falsafatuha) ("Religions: Their History and Philosophies"); Muhammad Abu Zahrah's Muhadarat fi n-Nasraniyyah ("Lectures on Christianity"); Muhammad 'Abdallah Daraz's Ad-Din ("Religion"); and Sulayman Muzhir's Qissat ad-Diyanat ("Story of the Religions").

As in the early Islamic period, so today Muslims scholars and students face several challenges in their study of world religions. Some of these challenges are common to Muslim and Western scholarship on the subject, while others are peculiar to Muslim scholarship. They range from the challenge of defining and delimiting the field to those associated with methodology. This chapter examines some of these challenges, drawing upon classical Islamic heritage, experience of Western comparativists, and works of modern Muslim scholars in the

field. First, however, it will deal with the question: Why do Muslim scholars need to make a serious study of other major world religions? To be sure, some Muslims are opposed to such an exercise, arguing that it will do more harm than good. It is, therefore, necessary to ask what led Muslim scholars, especially in the past, to study other religions.

#### Muslim Study of World Religions: Motivating Factors

Historically, several factors have motivated Muslims to undertake study of other religions:

1. Qur'anic Injunctions. For a Muslim, the main impetus for studying other peoples and their faiths comes from the Qur'an itself. Numerous Qur'anic verses urge human beings to reflect and ponder on the world around them. In so doing, Muslims cannot help but notice the diversity of belief professed by people. The Qur'an not only affirms such differences, but also contains a wealth of information about other religions—both revealed and man-made—including Judaism, Christianity, paganism, and idolatry. Though not a textbook on other religions, the Qur'an encourages Muslims to investigate and study religious differences. For example, 49:13 says: "O Mankind! We created you from a single (pair) of a male and female, and made you into nations and tribes, that ye may know each other (not that ye may despise each other)."

Exposure to different beliefs often contributes to greater mutual understanding and to collaboration among people of different faiths, reducing hatred and suspicion born of ignorance and prejudice. According to the Qur'an 4:48, God has created differences among human beings as a means of testing the latter: "To each among you have we prescribed a Law and an open way. If Allah had so willed he would have made you a single people, but (His plan is) to test you in what He hath given you: so strive as in a race in all virtues."

- 2. Dialogue and Discussion. The Qur'an stresses the importance of a healthy exchange of ideas among different religious communities: "Invite (all) to the Way of thy Lord with wisdom and beautiful preaching and argue with them in ways that are best and most gracious, for thy Lord knoweth best, who have strayed from His Path and who received guidance" (16:125). The Prophet Muhammad, whom Muslims regard as the living embodiment of the Qur'an, was on several occasions known to have engaged in religious discussion with both Jews and Christians.
- 3. Addition to Knowledge. In the very early Islamic period, Muslims were sometimes surrounded by and had as their neighbours Jews, Christians, Magians, idol-worshipers, as well as star-, sun-, and moonworshippers. Inspired by the above-quoted and other Qur'anic verses, classical Muslim scholars studied the beliefs of the various groups they encountered. Initially, they focused primarily on differences between Muslims and the "People of the Book"—that is, the Jews and Christians. With the expansion of the Islamic State, however, they enlarged the scope of their inquiry to include the new religions with which they came in contact, particularly Hinduism. Today, large numbers of Muslims live in multi-religious societies. Muslims who live as a minority religious community in a land or region—as in North America—interact with non-Muslims on a daily basis. On the other hand, sizeable non-Muslim minorities exist in many Muslim majority countries. Furthermore, modern systems of communication and transportation have increased interaction among diverse religious groups. Such interaction inevitably raises the question: Why do people hold the beliefs they do or practice their religion the way they do? While some people may choose to ignore the fact of diversity of belief and to associate with like-minded people only, such an attitude of aloofness is becoming more and more difficult to maintain in a world that is increasingly cosmopolitan.
- 4. Truth and Falsehood. Many classical Muslim scholars were motivated to study religious differences out of a desire to compare "false" religions with Islam—which they regarded as the religion of

truth. Frequently, such studies were undertaken with the intention of refuting, either directly or indirectly un-Islamic beliefs or philosophies—especially those that were perceived to have had a deleterious effect on Muslims' faith. Such refutation was supposed to make Islam intellectually stronger and more attractive to others.<sup>3</sup> According to Faruqi, study of other religions should aim at stressing on the commonalities rather than the differences among the religions. He thinks that it is up to the researcher to determine the extent to which the various religious traditions agree with "Din-al-Fitrah, the original and first religion." Keith Roberts opines that a scientific study of other religions can be beneficial in that it will force one to be rigorous in the search for truth and in that it demands logical coherence in the articulation of faith.<sup>5</sup>

5. Colonial Powers and Missionary Activities. Muslim interest in studying other religions peaked in the sixth/twelfth century, declining thereafter. It resurfaced with the arrival of the colonial powers in the Muslim world. Muslims, on the one hand, wished to acquire a sounder understanding of the religion of those who had defeated them—i.e. Christianity—and, on the other, hoped to counteract the work of the Christian missionaries who accompanied the colonial powers. Thus, we notice the appearance of such works as the Mahomedan Commentary on the Holy Bible, written by Sayyid Ahmad Khan (d. 1898); among the later works were those written by Abu Zahrah, Faruqi, and Shalabi. Interestingly, many Orientalist works written during this period were prompted by the desire to gain a better understanding of the mores and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Mohammad Rafiuddin, "The Meaning and Purpose of Islamic Research," in *Research Methodology in Islamic Perspective*. Mohammad Muqim (ed). (New Delhi: Institute of Objective Studies, 1994), pp. 11–12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Ismail Raji al-Faruqi. *Christian Ethics*. (Montreal: McGill University Press, 1967), pp. 15–16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Keith A. Roberts. *Religion in Sociological Perspective* (New York: Wadsworth Publishing Company, 1995), p. 35.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> C. W. Troll, Sayyid Ahmad Khan: A Re-Interpretation of Muslim Theology. (Karachi: Oxford University Press, 1978–79), p. 58 and p. 70.

practices of the colonized people—with the eventual aim of strengthening administrative and political control over those people.

6. Affirmation of One's Religious Identity. Study of other religions and philosophies may serve to increase one's own religious identity and commitment. Those who interact with people of different faiths often feel the need and pressure to find out more about their own faith. It is not uncommon for Muslim students in recent times to express the view that it was only after they had travelled overseas to study in non-Muslim countries that they truly came to understand Islam.

#### Defining and Delimiting the Field

A variety of terms have been used, particularly in the Western scholarly tradition, to designate the field under discussion. They have ranged from "comparative religion" to "religious studies" to "history of religion." But first we will take a brief look at the relevant Qur'anic terminology.

The Qur'an uses three main terms for "religion": din, millah, and ummah. Although din has a number of meanings including "obligation, direction, submission, retribution," it is frequently used to denote religion in the generic sense of the word. In some cases, it refers to the primordial, monotheistic religion that, being one and the same, has subsisted throughout history. In others, it alludes to one of the false or

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> "History of Religion" is "an academic pursuit composed of three disciplines: reportage or the collection of data; construction of meaning-wholes, or the systemization of data; and judgment, or evaluation, of meaning wholes." Ismail Raji al-Faruqi. *Islam and Other Faiths*. Ataullah Siddiqui (ed.). (Leicester, England: The Islamic Foundation, 1998), p. 161.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> L. Gardet, "Din," in *The Encyclopedia of Islam*. Bernard Lewis, Ch. Pellat, and J. Schacht (eds.). (Leiden: E. J. Brill, 1960), vol. 2, p. 293.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Ghulam Haider Aasi, "The Qur'an and Other Religious Traditions," in *Essays on Islam*, Felicitation Volume in Honour of Professor W. Montgomery Watt. Hakim Mohammed Said (ed.). Karachi: Hamdard Foundation Pakistan, 1993), p. 212.

corrupted forms (for example, polytheism) of a once true religion—a falsehood or corruption that may be regarded as din by those who accept it as a true and uncorrupted religion. This latter meaning is evidenced in 109:1-3, 6: "Say: O you who deny the truth! I do not worship that which you worship, and neither do you worship that which I worship . . . Unto you, your moral law (Dinukum) and unto me mine." Commenting on these verses, the classical scholar Qurtubi says that the religion of the infidels has been referred to as a religion (Din) because "they believed and adhered to it." 10

Millah denotes a religious tradition, a worldview, or a faith. 11 The term implies a system of doctrines, creeds, and rituals that is followed by a group of people regardless of whether, from a social and political standpoint, that group does or does not make up an independent polity.

Ummah refers to a religio-moral and sociopolitical community. Aasi maintains that, although ummah sometimes gives "the meaning of a nation, a people, a culture or a civilization, basic to all these groups of people is the idea of one binding religio-moral system of law and values."12

Islamic tradition does not assign an official name to the study of religious communities and sects. Faruqi says that the discipline was called 'Ilm al-Milal wal n-Nihal.<sup>13</sup> But there is no scholarly consensus on the term. According to Shalabi, the discipline of comparative religion, which he calls muqarant al-adyan, can be traced back to al-Hasan b. Musa an-Nawbakhti's (d. 202/816) Ara' wa-d-Diyanat ("Opinions and Religions"). On this view, the discipline originated about the same time as a number of other Islamic sciences, including

<sup>10</sup> Muhammad Sayed Ahmad al-Masir Al-Madkhal li-Dirasat al-Adyan ("Introduction to the Study of Religions") (in Arabic). (Cairo: Dar at-Tiba'ah al-Muhammadiyyiah, 1994), p. 34.

Ghulam Haider Aasi, "The Qur'an and Other Religious Traditions," pp. 226–227. Ghulam Haider Aasi, "The Qur'an and Other Religious Traditions," p. 221.

<sup>13</sup> Ismail Raji al-Faruqi, (ed.). Trialogue of the Abrahamic Faiths. p. ix.

those of Fiqh, Tafsir, and Hadith. 14 Shalabi goes on to list a number of reasons for the decline of the discipline in later times. First, since, in Islamic societies, non-Muslims came to occupy high positions in the administrative and political fields, and since many members of the Muslim ruling elite were married to non-Muslim women, comparative works that showed Islam to be superior to other faiths—and the other faiths to be deficient in comparison with Islam—were ill-suited to the political climate of the times. Second, the Crusades, which aimed at wiping out Islam and Muslims by means of the sword, left little hope for religious dialogue and discussion. Third, most of the fuquha' ("jurists") developed a fanatical loyalty to their own madhhabs ("schools") and had little interest in studying other madhhabs, much less other religions. Finally, some scholars refused to acknowledge the existence of other religions and felt that no comparison could be made between them and Islam. 15

In the modern period, the most commonly used Arabic term to describe the discipline of the study of other religions is *Muqaranat al-Adyan*, which is a direct translation of the Western term "comparative religion." The word *Muqaranah* ("comparison") may mean *Muwazanah*, *Tashbih*, *Qiyas*, <sup>16</sup> or *Muqayasah*. <sup>17</sup> Generally, however, the word "comparative" in this context refers to comparison of two or more kinds of phenomenon. <sup>18</sup> It is also used to describe the method

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Ahmad Shalabi, *Muqarant al-Adyan* ("Comparative Religion: Judaism"). (in Arabic). 4 vols., vol. 1: *Al-Yahudiyyah* ("Judaism"). (Cairo: Maktabat al-Nahdah al-Misriyyah, 1996), p. 31.

<sup>115</sup> Ahmad Shalabi, *Muqarant al-Adyan* ("Comparative Religion: Judaism"), p. 32.

Magdi Wahba, A Dictionary of Literary Terms—English-French-Arabic. (Beirut: Librairie du Liban, 1974), "Comparison."
 Ahmad F. Yousif, "Al-Nizam al-Ma'rifi 'inda 1-Biruni fi Dirasat al-Adyan" ("Al-

Ahmad F. Yousif, "Al-Nizam al-Ma'rifi 'inda l-Biruni fi Dirasat al-Adyan" ("Al-Biruni's Epistemology for Studying Religions"). Paper presented at the International Seminar on Islamic Epistemology, Amman, Jordan, 1998.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Munir Ba'lbakki, *Al-Mawrid: A Modern English-Arabic Dictionary* (Beirut: Dar al-'Ilm li l-Malayin, 1985), "Compare."

whereby likenesses or dissimilarities between two or more items are determined through a simultaneous examination of those items. 19

#### Western Scholarship on Comparative Religion

The classic Western definition of "comparative religion" is that offered by Louis H. Jordon in 1905. According to Jordon, comparative religion is

The science which compares the origin, structure and characteristics of the various religions of the world, with the view of determining their genuine agreements and differences, the measure of relation in which they stand one to another and their relative superiority and inferiority when regarded as types.<sup>20</sup>

In the third quarter of the nineteenth century, the German-British philologist F. Max Müller (1823-1900) extended the comparative approach, which he had used in his philological studies, to the study of religion.<sup>21</sup> Central to this conception of comparative religion was application of the comparative—or scientific—methods to the data supplied by the world's religions, past and present, in order to discover the laws that are operative in the realm of religion. In Germany, however, the newly developed school had a narrower scope, limiting its research to the background of the Old and New Testaments.<sup>22</sup> The term "comparative religion" remained in use until the end of World War II. even though, by the end of World War I, the discipline had already

Duckworth and Company, 1975), p. xii.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup>Webster's Third New International Dictionary of the English Language Unabridged (Springfield, Massachusetts: Merriam-Webster, 1993), "Comparative." <sup>20</sup> Cited by Eric J. Sharpe, *Comparative Religion: A History*. (London: Gerald

Seymour Cain, "History of the Study of Religion," The Encyclopedia of Religion, Mircea Eliade, ed., 16 vols. (New York: MacMillan Library Reference, 1995), vol. 13, p. 69.

Eric J. Sharpe "Comparative Religion," The Encyclopedia of Religion, Mircea Eliade, ed., vol. 3, pp. 578-579.

started to split up into a number of interrelated disciplines, such as history of religion, psychology of religion, sociology of religion, and philosophy of religion.<sup>23</sup> Although initially the main discipline involved collecting, in a dispassionate manner, a massive amount of information about other people's religions, there has been, since World War II, a large-scale direct interaction among persons of diverse faith, both on professional and personal levels.<sup>24</sup> This has, in turn, led to a shifting of the focus of study. One result of the shift has been that Western scholars have almost entirely ceased to concern themselves with the "relative superiority or inferiority" of religions. A second outcome is that Western scholarship has almost abandoned the term "comparative religion,"<sup>25</sup> replacing it with a wide variety of terms, which reflect both diversity of thought and methodological uncertainty. One of the more popular of these terms is "religious studies," which came into existence in the 1960s when there was a period of growth of higher education in the West.<sup>26</sup> According to Sharpe, "religious studies attempts to study religion not on the basis of one tradition (or a part of a tradition) only, but "in the round."<sup>27</sup> In his view, religious studies can, at best, reveal the principles on which all religious belief and behaviour, viewed from the believer's angle, rests—principles which, once grasped, can be applied in other, separate areas.<sup>28</sup>

It seems, however, that the discipline of religious studies began to lose its focus in the 1980s. Its curriculum has increasingly become "a crazy quilt of courses encompassing many disciplines, areas, regions,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Sharpe, Comparative Religion, xiii.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> W. C. Smith "Comparative religion: Whither and Why?" in *The History of Religions: Essays in Methodology*, Mircea Eliade and Joseph M. Kitagawa, eds. (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1959), p. 32.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Eric J. Sharpe, Comparative Religion: A History, pp. xii-xiii.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Darlene M. Juschka, "The Construction of Pedagogical Spaces: Religious Studies in the University," *Studies in Religion*. 28, 1 (1999): p. 86.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Eric J. Sharpe. *Understanding Religion*. (New York: St. Martin's Press, 1983), p. 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Eric J. Sharpe. *Understanding Religion*, p. 13.

languages and methods of inquiry."<sup>29</sup> At the end of the millennium, Juschka argues that "the discipline of religious studies is seen to be void, empty, [or] whimsical at best. Since it lacks an identity it also lacks cultural capital, and lacking cultural capital its survival in the changing world of the university is uncertain."<sup>30</sup>

In light of this overview of the historical origins and development of the terms "comparative religion" and "religious studies," as well as of the status of these disciplines in the West the next question to ask is: Where do Muslim scholars in the field stand in relation to such developments? The chasm between modern Western and Muslim scholarship in the field is very wide. While in the Western academic tradition the discipline appears to be on the wane owing to a lack of direction and focus, in academic institutions in the contemporary Muslim world the field is still in the early stages of revival.

Western historical experience has demonstrated that each new scholarly attempt to define the field is based upon a new understanding not only of the goal of the research to be undertaken, but also of the methodology to be employed in the research. While the term "comparative religion" may have gone out of vogue in Western academic circles, comparison is still a valid method in Islamic intellectual circles. This method is frequently used in the Qur'an, and was also used by early Muslim scholars, particularly the *fuquha'*, who were known for their use of *qiyas* ("analogical reasoning"). At the same time, some of the assumptions underlying the new term "religious studies" seem to be questionable from an Islamic point of view. The Western religious studies programs are predicated on the assumption that, epistemic certainty being unattainable, no religion has an exclusive claim to truth. On this view, we are left with three possibilities: (1) all religions are equally true; (2) all religions contain bits of truth; (3) none

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Thomas L. Benson, "Religious Studies as an Academic Discipline," *The Encyclopedia of Religion*, Mircea Eliade, ed., vol. 13, p. 91.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Darlene M. Juschka, "The Construction of Pedagogical Spaces: Religious Studies in the University," p. 88.

of the religions contain any truth at all. But while the above-stated assumption is in keeping with modern trends in Western philosophical thought, in which "no form of knowledge can be absolute" and all truth is relative, it would not appeal to a Muslim, since the denial of absolute values in favour of relative ones serves to negate God and the hereafter.<sup>31</sup>

Having said that, we must add that some of the issues that Western scholars in the field have grappled with must be addressed by Muslims as well. For example: Should study of world religions focus on the external—namely, doctrinal, legal, and social—aspects and manifestations of religion or on the internal—namely, experiential—aspects of religions, or on both? To what extent is it possible for an individual who is committed to a given religious belief to make an objective study of another religion? Should the study of other religions include an evaluative aspect or should it defer evaluation in light of the difficulty in reaching epistemic certainty? Some of these questions will be dealt with in the following sections.

#### **Challenges of Methodology**

The classical Greeks, who were critical of popular native religion, were curious about other religious traditions—and, therefore, open to studying them. In their quest for information and truth, they recorded and described what they saw, read, and experienced; they also compared and contrasted the material thus collected with their own tradition and culture.<sup>32</sup> But, according to Sharpe, the Judeo-Christian tradition, in contrast to the Greek, has been exclusivist and intolerant in the matter of religion. In his view, the New Testament exhibits a total

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Syed Muhammad Naquib al-Attas, *Prolegomena to the Metaphysics of Islam* (Kuala Lumpur: International Institute of Islamic Thought and Civilization, 1995), p. 87

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Eric J. Sharpe, Comparative Religion, p. 3.

lack of objective interest in other religious traditions and virtually rules out even the possibility of an objective study of other religions.<sup>33</sup>

The classical Muslim approach to the subject—insofar as one can speak of one— is in stark contrast to the Judeo-Christian. It is true that Muslim scholars viewed other religions from the perspective of the foundational sources of Islam, the Qur'an and Sunnah. At the same time, however, they felt free to approach the subject from several different angles. In this connection, we will briefly compare the methodologies of three representatives of the classical period—Biruni, Ibn Hazm, and Shahrastani.

Biruni made an extensive and profound study of Hindu civilization, including Hindu religion, philosophy, manners, customs, and scientific achievements. In both Kitab al-Athar (Eng. trans. "The Chronology of Ancient Nations) and Kitab al-Hind (translated as Al-Biruni's India), Biruni discusses a total of twelve religions and religious communities and then compares their traits with corresponding features in Islamic and other known cultures.<sup>34</sup> He makes three types of comparisons: interreligious, intrareligious, and intersectarian.<sup>35</sup> This enables him to make use of his knowledge of the Greek and Indian philosophical systems to reach conclusions and make observations that would be understood and appreciated by his fellow Muslims. It is noteworthy that Biruni wrote aboutHindu doctrine in a completely detached manner. He quoted Hindu sources verbatim at length when he thought they would

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Eric J. Sharpe, Comparative Religion, pp. 7–8.
 <sup>34</sup> Jussi Aro, "Encounter of Cultures in the Work of al-Biruni," in Al-Biruni Commemorative Volume. Hakim Mohammed Said, ed. (Karachi: Hamdard National Foundation, 1973), p. 319.

<sup>35</sup> Kamar Oniah Kamaruzaman, "Early Muslim Scholarship in Religionswissenchaft: A Case Study of the Works and Contributions of Abu Rayhan Muhammad ibn Ahmad al-Biruni." Doctoral dissertation, International Institute of Islamic Thought and Civilization, Malaysia, 1996, p. 107. See also A. Jeffery, "Al-Biruni's Contribution to Comparative Religion," in *Al-Biruni Commemorative Volume*. Hakim Mohammed Said, ed. (Karachi: Hamdard National Foundation, 1973), pp. 125–159.

contribute to elucidating a subject. Biruni himself confirms that his book "is not a polemical one," and that it is "nothing but a simple historical record of facts." Biruni was highly successful in describing Hinduism in an objective manner, without identifying himself with the religion.<sup>37</sup>

Ibn Hazm was the first Muslim comparativist to use a critical analytical approach to study other religions, particularly the Jewish Torah and Christian Gospel. Although both Christians and Jews continuously rejected his analysis for almost three-quarters of a millennium, Faruqi states that today some Christians have come to acknowledge the worth of Ibn Hazm's study. As far as his methodology was concerned, Ibn Hazm would report all the beliefs of the group in question and then critically analyse them with a view to showing their merits and demerits. Using the *Zahirite* methodology, he rejected interpretations of the Old Testament offered by clergymen and Christian theologians, who, he thought, might have committed errors in interpreting that scripture. Ibn Hazm preferred to examine the original texts and arrive at new conclusions, taking an approach similar to that taken by the Protestant Reformers in understanding the Bible. He would, however, reject the texts if he found contradictions in them.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup>Al-Biruni's India: An Account of the Religion, Philosophy, Geography, Chronology, Astronomy, Custom, Laws and Astrology of India about AD 1030. Edward C. Sachau, ed., and trans., with notes and indices. (London: Kegan Paul Trench, Trubner & Co., 1910), p. 7. See also, Ahmad F. Yousif, "A Socio-cultural, Religious Analysis of al-Biruni's Contributions Towards the Study of Science, Mathematics and Philosophy," in Cultural and Language Aspects of Science, Mathematics and Technical Education. M. A. (Ken) Clements and Leong Yong Pak, eds. (Brunei: University Brunei Darussalam, 1999), pp. 18–19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup>Al-Biruni's India: An Account of the Religion, Philosophy, Geography, Chronology, Astronomy, Custom, Laws and Astrology of India about AD 1030, p. xxii.

Mahmoud Ali Himaya, *Ibn Hazm wa-Manhajuhu fi Dirasat al-Adyan* ("Ibn Hazm'a Methodology for Studying Religions"). (in Arabic) (Cairo: Dar al-Ma'arif, 1983), pp. 148–149.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Ismail Raji al-Faruqi, *Christian Ethics*, p. 19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup>Mahmoud Ali Himaya, *Ibn Hazm wa-Manhajuhu fi Dirasat al-Adyan* ("Ibn Hazm'a Methodology for Studying Religions"), p. 178.

Shahrastani's Kitab al-Milal wa al-Nihal is a virtual short encyclopaedia on all the religions, sectarian groups, and supernatural and philosophical systems known at his time (d. 1154). According to Sharpe, Shahrastani has the honour of having written the first ever history of religion. In his view, Shahrastani's work "far outstrips anything which Christian writers were capable of producing at the same period."41 In contrast to Ibn Hazm, who bases his analysis strictly on a study of original and primary sources, Shahrastani does make use of a number of secondary sources—for which he is severely criticized by A. J. Arberry, who remarks that Shahrastani's Milal "is little more than a farrago of quotations from older writers, loosely arranged and inconsequently strung together without the slightest acknowledgment."<sup>42</sup> A. K. Kazi and J. G. Flynn, who are less critical of Shahrastani argue that, although Shahrastani draws heavily on Ash'ari's Magalat al-Islamiyyin, he does make use of other sources as well, and often differs considerably from Ash'ari—especially in terms of arrangement of material and classification of the sub sects-and gives a fuller account of some of the sects than Asha'ri. Furthermore, Kazi and Flynn point out that Shahrastani's section on the Isma'iliyyah seems quite independently written. 43 Nevertheless, they admit that Shahrastani rarely mentions his sources, with the exception of Abdallah b. Mahmud al-Ka'bi (d. 319/931) whose name occurs quite frequently.

Unlike Ibn Hazm, Shahrastani does not critically analyse the ideas of the groups he discusses. Generally he reports the views of the sects without elaboration and without comment, though he offers an occasional brief criticism. 44 "I have," he says at the beginning of his

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Eric J. Sharpe, Comparative Religion, p. 11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> A. K. Kazi and J. G. Flynn, Introduction to Muhammad b. Abd al-Karim Shahrastani, *Muslim Sects and Divisions*. (London: Kegan Paul International, 1984), p. 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> A. K. Kazi and J. G. Flynn, Introduction to Muhammad b. Abd al-Karim Shahrastani, *Muslim Sects and Divisions*, p. 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> A. K. Kazi and J. G. Flynn, Introduction to Muhammad b. Abd al-Karim Shahrastani, *Muslim Sects and Divisions*, p. 7.

book, "stated their beliefs as found in their books without favouring them and without attacking or criticizing them." This approach, however, has not found favor with some Muslim scholars. Mahmoud Ali Himaya, for instance, criticizes Shahrastani for not correcting the mistaken ideas he described. Himaya thinks that the major problem with such an approach is that false ideas may stick in the readers' minds, without these readers knowing whether such ideas are false or wrong. He further says that it is easy to learn about the ideas of another group and report them, but that it is more difficult to respond to the wrong ideas. 46

This brief review of the differing methodologies of three Muslim scholars has shown that there is no such thing as a single "classical Muslim method" for studying other religions. Both Biruni and Shahrastani preferred to take a descriptive approach, but Biruni obtained his information from first-hand field research, while Shahrastani relied more heavily on secondary sources. Ibn Hazm, on the other hand, preferred the critical analytical approach and relied exclusively on original religious texts, ignoring second-hand commentaries on those texts.

In the modern era, Western scholars have used an increasingly wide variety of methods to study the field. The so-called Orientalist methodology reigned supreme in nineteenth-century Western Europe. The Orientalists treated the religions of the world as "dead-cold data and static external observables in human behaviour or as enemy territory, which must be reconnoitred in order to be conquered with the least possible effort." In their view, the ideal scholar was a detached academic who surveyed material impersonally, almost majestically, and subsequently reported on it objectively. This detachment meant that the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Muhammad b. Abd al-Karim al-Shahrastani, *Al-Milal wa-n-Nihal* ("Muslim Sects and Divisions"), Abdul Aziz Muhammad al-Wakil, ed. (in Arabic) (Beirut: Dar al-Fikr, n.d.), p. 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup>Mahmoud Ali Himaya, *Ibn Hazm wa-Manhajuhu fi Dirasat al-Adyan* ("Ibn Hazm'a Methodology for Studying Religions"), pp. 147–148.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Ismail Raji al-Faruqi. Christian Ethics, p. 9.

scholar studied the religion without participating in it.<sup>48</sup> In addition, many Orientalists were evolutionists in the sense that they tended to classify religions historically, geographically, and culturally, systematizing them into various "isms," each a rough equivalent of a biological species.<sup>49</sup>

One of the shortcomings of the Orientalist methodology is that it failed to recognize that "religion is not a 'scientific' fact that can be coldly examined in the manner of a geological or biological sample"50 To be sure, several dimensions of religion are amenable to scientific study, but these may not be religion as such, the heart of a religion consisting of the meaning a religion holds for those who believe in it. 51

A second shortcoming is that the great majority of the Orientalist writings were prejudiced by Western-if not strictly Christiancategories of thought and analysis.<sup>52</sup> Sharpe says that comparisons frequently involved "undue and conventional selectivity, which chose not what is most important in an exotic tradition, but what is accessible and superficially attractive." In addition, many Western students tended to treat the "other" tradition as a mirror for their own concerns.<sup>53</sup>

As already mentioned, "comparative religion," since World War I was broken down into a number of sub disciplines, each with its own methodology or approach. The anthropological approach examines the role of religion in early or traditional societies—particularly the ways in which religious rites and ceremonies bind a community together—the role of a chief or shaman in the life of the people, and the function of myth in revealing a tribe's self-understanding and identity.<sup>54</sup>

W. C. Smith, "Comparative religion: Whither and Why?," pp. 44–45.
 Eric J. Sharpe, "Study of Religion," pp. 13:84.
 Ismail Raji al-Faruqi. *Christian Ethics*, p. 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> W. C. Smith, "Comparative religion: Whither and Why?," p. 35. <sup>52</sup> Ismail Raji al-Faruqi, *Christian Ethics*, p. 35.

<sup>53</sup> Eric J. Sharpe. *Understanding Religion*, p. 88.
54 Richard C. Bush et al., *The Religious World Communities of Faith*. (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). (New York: MacMillan Publishing Co., 1988), p. 9.

Anthropologists are also interested in finding out how society's religious beliefs and institutions sanction or elicit acceptance of a certain behaviour and how these factors assist in making that society integrated and cohesive. <sup>55</sup> A favourite method of the anthropologists is that of participant observation, which requires an open, serious, and respectful attitude toward alien ways of life and thought. <sup>56</sup>

Many of those who used the anthropological approach to study other religions were criticized for being arm-chair scholars, in the sense that their methods were skewed by unreliable data obtained at second hand, by unsifted sources, and by inauthentic comparisons and haphazard synthesis in which bizarre phenomena were focused on, or certain types of examples selected to prove preconceived theories. The latter-day anthropological method—that of undertaking intensive fieldwork—has also been criticized as impressionistic, haphazard, or simply meaningless busywork, while even the technique of "participant observation" has been dismissed as the romantic illusion that one can get an inside view of a foreign culture in a few months or years. <sup>57</sup>

The sociological approach to other religions focuses on group or social behaviour and on the way religion interacts with other dimensions of social experience. The psychological approach, on the other hand, tends to emphasize the study of such experiences as conversion, prayer, and mystic ecstasy, using such methods as questionnaires, personal interviews, autobiographies, and other empirical data that could be analysed, classified, and statistically measured. The historical approach is concerned with establishing the role that religious experience and ideas play in the lives of individuals and communities, and also with determining how religion influences the development of larger societies, nations, and whole cultures. In

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> James C. Livingston, *Anatomy of the Sacred: An Introduction to Religion* (New York: MacMillan Publishing Company, 1989), p. 29.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> Seymour Cain, "History of the Study of Religion," p. 72. Seymour Cain, "History of the Study of Religion," p. 73.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> James C. Livingston, Anatomy of the Sacred: An Introduction to Religion, p. 31.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> Seymour Cain, "History of the Study of Religion," p. 77.

reconstructing a religion's past or by attempting to distinguish historical fact from myth, legend, saga, and religious tradition, the historian draws on a vast range of non-textual sources, including archaeology, numismatics, and geography.<sup>60</sup>

The phenomenological method attempts to supply the deficiencies of the Orientalist approach and of the reductionism of several of the above-noted approaches. It is designed "to portray each religion in its own terms as a unique expression, as a reality that is not to be reduced. In order to achieve this goal, the phenomenologist must remain detached and impartial. But insightful description and interpretation also requires a genuine feel for, and empathy with, religious experience. 61 The phenomenologist must exercise epoche, or the suspension of judgment, a state that allows him or her to see through the eyes of those who believe—or of those who are committed. 62

#### Conclusion

This chapter has critically examined a number of challenges facing Muslim students and scholars of world religions. It commenced with a discussion of why Muslim scholars in both the past and the present have undertaken investigations of people of different faiths.

Upon examining the issue of "defining the field", it was shown that the Qur'an employs three different terms to describe religious communities - din, millah and ummah. Accordingly, any study of religious groups or communities should incorporate at least one of the above terms. It was further shown that traditionally, there was never any agreed upon term for the discipline of studying other religions. In the contemporary Muslim scholarship, some such as Farugi refer to the discipline as 'ilm al-milal wa al-nihal (Knowledge of religious groups

James C. Livingston, Anatomy of the Sacred: An Introduction to Religion, p. 28.
 James C. Livingston, Anatomy of the Sacred: An Introduction to Religion, p. 39.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> Eric J. Sharpe, *Understanding Religion*, p. 32.

and sects), thereby incorporating the plural of the word *millah*. The vast majority of present-day Muslim scholars however, employ the term *muqaranat al-adyyan*, which is essentially a direct translation of the Western term "comparative religion." It was argued that although the term "comparative religion" is no longer in vogue in Western academic circles, it can still be considered valid in the Muslim world, since the comparative technique is frequently used in the Qur'an, as well as by the classical Muslim scholars in various fields of study (especially jurisprudence). At the same time, it was also shown that the term "religious studies" which has found favour in Western academic circles since the 1960's, is not acceptable to Muslims, due to the questionable epistemological foundations upon which it is established.

The next issue this chapter addressed was the challenge of methodology. It was argued that there was no one classical Muslim method of studying other religions. While some scholars preferred a descriptive approach, others preferred to undertake a critical analysis of the religion in question. Moreover, while some scholars preferred to undertake the investigation directly in the field, others preferred to rely on secondary sources. The common thread between these divergent approaches however, is that their perception of reality was derived from the Qur'an and/or the prophetic traditions.

As far as a contemporary methodology for Muslim scholars in the field is concerned, it was argued that there is no one precise "Islamic methodology" per se. Instead, it is incumbent upon Muslim intellectuals to study and investigate different religious beliefs held by people, in a manner which is objective and fair to the people under investigation. In fact, academically, it is unacceptable for Muslim scholars to maliciously attack or downgrade other religions.

Finally, the third issue addressed by the chapter was the extent to which a Muslim's religious commitment affects his or her objectivity when studying other religions. It was argued that every scholar is committed — either consciously or unconsciously — to certain convictions or pre-suppositions about what constitutes reality,

Despite attempts to control the Islamic resurgence and "fanatical" Islam, they have not been successful as long as Muslims are denied basic religious and political rights and freedoms in their own countries.

On the other hand, some Islamic activists and groups are not without their share of blame, for some have clearly overstepped Islam's limits particularly concerning the interpretation of jihad, while others prefer to operate in the grey areas, which are better to be avoided. Finally, it is not up to non-Muslims to decide for Muslims which groups are "fanatical" and which are moderate. Instead, all Islamic activists and movements should undergo a self-evaluation of their ideology, means and "sincerity" to assure them of successfully achieving their objectives without harming themselves and others.

#### **Bibliography**

#### **Non-Muslim References**

- Barker, Eileen. New Religious Movements: A Practical Introduction.
  London: HMSO Publications Centre, 1989.
- Bernhardt, Reinhold. "Fanaticism." *The Brill Dictionary of Religion*. Kocku von Stuckrad (ed.). Brill, 2009. Brill Online. Accessed September 7, 2009, from http://www.brillonline.nl/subscriber/entry?entry=bdr SIM-00024.
- Bruce, Steve. Fundamentalism. London: Polity Press, 2000.
- Davidson, Lawrence. *Islamic Fundamentalism*. Westport, CT: Greenwood Press, 1998.
- <u>D'Cruz</u>, Frankie. "Malaysia to Help Identify Noordin's Body." *Malay Mail*. (Report). Monday, (August 10, 2009).
- Dodd, C. H. "The Containment of Terrorism: Violence in Turkish Politics 1965-80." In *Terrorism, Ideology, and Revolution: The Origins of Modern Political Violence*. Noel O'Sullivan (ed.). London: The Harvester Press Publishing Group, 1986.
- Esposito, John L., and Dalia Mogahed. "What Make a Muslim Radical?" Foreign Policy. (January 16, 2006). Accessed January 7, 2011, from http://www.foreignpolicy.com/story/cms.php?story\_id=3637.
- Esposito, John L. *Unholy War: Terror in the Name of Islam*. New York: Oxford University Press, 2002.
- Finger, Margaret Thaler. "The Process of Brainwashing: Psychological Coercion and Thought Reform." In *Cults and New Religious Movements: A Reader*. Lorne L. Dawson (ed.). Oxford, UK: Blackwell Publishing, 2003.

- French, Hal W. A Study of Religious Fanaticism and Responses to It: Adversary Identity. Lewiston, NY: Edwin Mellen Press, 1990.
- Haddad, Yvonne H., and Adair T. Lummis. *Islamic Values in the United States: A Comparative Study*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1987.
- Hadden, Jeffrey K. "Religious Fundamentalism." *Encyclopedia of Sociology*. Edger F. Borgatta (ed-in-chief). New York: MacMillan Publishing Company, 1992.
- Harwazinski, Assia Maria. "Fundamentalism." *The Brill Dictionary of Religion*. Kocku von Stuckrad (ed.). *Brill Online*. Accessed August 24, 2009, from http://www.brillonline.nl/subscriber/entry?entry=bdr\_COM-00176.
- Hood, Ralph W., P. C. Hill, and W. P. Williamson. *The Psychology of Religious Fundamentalism*. New York: The Guilford Press, 2005.
- Iacovou, Christos. "From MNLF to Abu Sayyaf: The Radicalization of Islam in the Philippines." Accessed September 23, 2009, from http://www.ict.org.il/Articles/tabid/66/Articlsid/694/currentpage/27/Default.asx.
- Juergensmeyer, Mark. Terror in the Mind of God: The Rise of Religious Violence. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2000.
- Karasipahi, Sena. "Comparing Islamic Resurgence Movements in Turkey and Iran." *Middle East Journal*. 63 (1), (2009): 87-107.
- Keddie, Nikki R. and Farah Monian. "Militancy and Religion in Contemporary Iran." In Fundamentalisms and the State: Remaking Polities, Economies, and Militancy. Martin E. Marty,

- and R. Scott Appleby (eds.). (Volume 3) Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, 1993.
- Lawrence, Bruce B. Defenders of God: The Fundamentalist Revolt against the Modern Age. New York: I.B. Tauris & Co Ltd, 1990.
- Mandaville, Peter. "Communication and Diasporic Islam: A Virtual Ummah?" In *The Media of Diaspora*. Karim H. Karim (ed.). London: Routledge, 2003.
- Mardin, Serif. "The Nakshibendi Order of Turkey." In Fundamentalisms and the State: Remaking Polities, Economies, and Militancy. Martin E. Marty and R. Scott Appleby (eds.). (Volume 3) Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, 1993.
- Marty, Martin E., and R. Scott Appleby. "Conclusion: Remaking the State: The Limits of the Fundamentalist Imagination." In Fundamentalisms and the State: Remaking Polities, Economies, and Militancy. Martin E. Marty and R. Scott Appleby (eds.). (Volume 3) Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, 1993.
- Mezvinsky, Norton. "The Underlying Realities of the Israeli-Palestinian Conflict after 11 September." *Arab Studies Quarterly*. 25 (1/2), (2003): 197-208.
- Morris, Erica, and Alan Hoe. *Terrorism: Threat and Response*. London: MacMillan Press Ltd, 1987.
- Newsweek Magazine. (March 15, 1993).
- Paskins, Barrie. "Fanaticism in the Modern Era." In Fanaticism and Conflict in the Modern Age. Matthew Hughes and Gaynor Johnson (eds.). London: Frank Cass, 2005.
- Ramakrishna, Kumar. "Constructing the *Jemaah Islamiyah* Terrorist: A Preliminary Inquiry." Paper Series, No. 71, Institute of Defense and Strategic Studies, Singapore, 2004.

- Ruthven, Malise. Fundamentalism: The Search for Meaning. New York: Oxford University Press, 2004.
- Schlagheck, Donna M. International Terrorism: An Introduction to the Concepts and Actors. Lanham, MD: Lexington Books, 1988.
- Sederberg, Peter C. Terrorist Myths: Illusion, Rhetoric and Reality. New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1989.
- Spencer, William. Islamic Fundamentalism in the Modern World. Brookfield, CT: The Millbrook Press, 1995.
- Tabor, James D. "Religious Discourse and Failed Negotiations: The Dynamics of Biblical Apocalypticism at Waco." In *Armageddon in Waco*. Stuart Wright (ed.). Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1995.
- Wilkinson, Paul. "Some Observations on the Relationship between Terrorism and Freedom." In *Terrorism, Protest and Power*. Martin Warner and Roger Crisp (eds.). Williston, VT: Edward Elgar, 1990.

#### Muslim References

- Ahmad, Khurshid. Fanaticism, Intolerance and Islam. Lahore: Islamic Publications Ltd. 1981.
- Alatas, Syed Hussein. "Perceptions of Muslim Revival." The Muslim World. 97 (3), (2007): 377-384.
- Al-Jirjānī, 'Alī ibn Muḥammad (d. 1413). *Mu'jam al-Ta'rīfāt*. (in Arabic). Muḥammad Ṣiddīq al-Manshāwī (ed.). Cairo: Dār al-Fadīlah, 2004, "al-īghāl."
- Al-Lowāḥiq, Abd al-Rahmān. Al-Ghulū fī al-Dīn fī Ḥayāt al-Muslimīn al-Muʿāṣirah. (in Arabic). Beirut: Muʾassasat al-Risālah, 1992.

- Al-Qaradāwī, Yūsuf. Mustaqhal al-Usullyyah al-Islāmiyyah. (in Arabic). Cairo: Maktabat Wahbah, 1997.
- Islamic Awakening between Rejection and Extremism. (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.).

  A.S. Al Shaikh Ali, (trans. & eds.). Herndon, VA: International Institute of Islamic Thought, 1991.
- Al-Ṣābūnī, Muḥammad 'Alī. Safwat al-Tafāsīr. (in Arabic). (4th ed.). Beirut: Dār al-Qur'ān al-Karīm, 1981.
- El-Muhammady, Muhammad Uthman. "An Agenda for Action: Combating and Managing Fanaticism in Islam." Paper presented at the seminar on Islam and Fanaticism organized by the Institute of Islamic Understanding Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, September 13-4, 1995, (20pp).
- Haneef, Sayed Sikandar Shah. Homicide in Islam: Legal Structure and the Evidence Requirements. Kuala Lumpur: A.S. Noordeen, 2000.
- Husain, Zohair, and David M. Rosenbaum. "Perceiving Islam: The Causes and Consequences of Islamophobia in the Western Media." In Religious Fundamentalism in the Contemporary World: Critical and Political Issues. Santosh C. Saha (cd.). New York: Lexington Books, 2004.
- Ibn Kathīr, 'Imād al-Dīn Ismā'īl (d. 1373). *Tafsīr al-Qur'ān al-Azīm*. (in Arabic). (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Beirut: Dār al-Khayr, 1991.
- Ibn Khaldūn, Abd al-Raḥmān (d. 1406). *The Muqaddimah: An Introduction to History*. Franz Rosenthal (tran. and intro.). N. J. Dawood (abridged and ed.). New Jersey: Princeton, 1989.

- Ibn Manzūr, Muḥammad ibn Mukarram (d. 1311). Lisān al-'Arab. (in Arabic). Beirut: Dār Lisān al-'Arab, 1988, "Shadada." "Ghalā."
- Jackson, Sherman. "Jihad and the Modern World." In *Islam in Transition: Muslim Perspectives*. John J. Donohue and John L. Esposito (eds.). (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). New York: Oxford University Press, 2007.
- Kamali, Hashim. "Fanaticism and Its Manifestations in Contemporary Muslim Societies." Paper presented at the seminar on "Islam and Fanaticism," organized by the Institute of Islamic Understanding Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, September 13-4, 1995, 43pp.
- Karim, Karim H. *The Islamic Peril: Media and Global Violence*. Montreal: Black Rose Press, 2000.
- Kayapinar, M. Akif. "Ibn Khaldun's Concept of Assabiyya: An Alternative Tool for Understanding Long-Term Politics?" *Asian Journal of Social Science*. 36 (3-4), (2008): 375-407.
- Lemu, Aisha B. *Laxity, Moderation and Extremism in Islam*. Herndon, VA: International Institute of Islamic Thought, 1993.
- Mawdudi, Sayyid Abul A'la. *The Islamic Movement: Dynamics of Values, Power and Change*. (Khurram Murad, ed.) London: Islamic Foundation, 1984.
- New Straits Time. "Noorddin's First Wife to Claim Body." (Report).

  Accessed October 7, 2009, from http://www.nst.com.my/Current\_News/NST/articles/12nr-2/Article.
- Sukma, Rizal. "Islam in Modern Indonesia." 2002. Accessed September 23, 2009, from http://islamlib.com/en/article/islam-in-modern-indonesia.

- The Holy Qur'an. English Translation of the Meanings and Commentary. Madinah, KSA: King Fahad Complex for the Printing of the Holy Qur'an, 1996.
- Yousif, Ahmad F. "Contemporary Islamic Movements in Southeast Asia: Challenges and Opportunity." In *The Blackwell Companion to Contemporary Islamic Thought*; Ibrahim Abu-Rabi (ed.). Oxford, UK:The Blackwell Publishing Ltd., 2006.
- \_\_\_\_\_, "Deviationist Groups and Their Impact on Mainstream Muslims in Brunei Darussalam." *Islam in Asia*. 4 (1), (2007): 29-57.
- \_\_\_\_\_\_, "Fundamentalism and Fanaticism: A Comparative Analysis." Journal of Religious Studies and Theology. 30 (1), (2011): 3-26.
- \_\_\_\_\_, "The Impact of 9/11 on Muslim Identity in the Canadian National Capital Region: Institutional Response and Future Prospects." *Studies in Religion/Sciences Religieuses*. 34 (1), (2005): 49-68.